

Dudgeon and Sheringham Shoal Offshore Wind Farm Extensions

Preliminary Environmental Information Report

Volume 3

Appendix 24.1 - Construction Dust Methodology

April 2021









Page 2 of 10

Title:

Dudgeon and Sheringham Shoal Offshore Wind Farm Extensions
Preliminary Environmental Information Report
Appendix 24.1 Construction Dust and Particulate Matter Assessment Methodology

Document no.:

PB8164-RHD-ZZ-ON-RP-Z-0030

Date:	Classification	
29 th April 2021	Final	
Prepared by:		
Royal Haskoning	DHV	
Approved by:		Date:
Jo Rodriguez, Ed	quinor	29 th April 2021

Classification: Open Status: Final www.equinor.com



Doc. No. PB8164-RHD-ZZ-ON-RP-Z-0030

Rev. no. 1

Table of Contents

24.1	CONSTRUCTION PHASE DUST AND PARTICULATE MATTER ASSESS METHODOLOGY	
24.2	References	10
List o	of Tables	
Table	24.1.1: Criteria used in the determination of dust emission magnitude	6
Table	24.1.2: Criteria used for determining sensitivity of receptors	6
Table	24.1.3: Sensitivity of the area to dust soiling effects on people and property	7
Table	24.1.4: Sensitivity of the area to human health impacts	8
Table	24.1.5: Sensitivity of the area to ecological effects.	9
	24.1.6: Risk of impacts – earthworks and construction	
	2/1 1.7: Risk of impacts – trackout	



Page 4 of 10

Doc. No. PB8164-RHD-ZZ-ON-RP-Z-0030

Rev. no.1

Glossary of Acronyms

DEP	Dudgeon Extension Project
IAQM	Institute of Air Quality Management
PM ₁₀	Particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of less than 10µm
SAC	Special Area of Conservation
SEP	Sheringham Shoal Extension Project
SPA	Special Protection Area
SSSI	Site of Specific Scientific Interest

Glossary of Terms

The Applicant	Equinor New Energy Limited	
The Dudgeon Offshore Wind Farm Extension Project (DEP)	The Dudgeon Offshore Wind Farm Extension site as well as all onshore and offshore infrastructure.	
PEIR boundary	The area subject to survey and preliminary impact assessment to inform the PEIR, including all permanent and temporary works for DEP and SEP. The PEIR boundary will be refined down to the final DCO boundary ahead of the application for development consent.	
The Sheringham Shoal Offshore Wind Farm Extension Project (SEP)	The Sheringham Offshore Wind Farm Extension site as well as all onshore and offshore infrastructure.	



Page 5 of 10

Doc. No. PB8164-RHD-ZZ-ON-RP-Z-0030

Rev. no.1

24.1 CONSTRUCTION PHASE DUST AND PARTICULATE MATTER ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

24.1.1 Introduction

 The following sections outline criteria developed by the Institute of Air Quality Management (IAQM) (IAQM, 2016) for the assessment of air quality impacts arising from construction activities associated with the Dudgeon Offshore Wind Farm Extension Project (hereafter DEP) and Sheringham Shoal Offshore Wind Farm Extension Project (hereafter SEP). The assessment procedure is divided into four steps and is summarised below.

24.1.2 Step 1: Screening the need for a Detailed Assessment

- 2. An assessment will normally be required where there are human receptors within 350m of the site boundary and/or within 50m of the route(s) used by construction vehicles on the public highway, up to 500m from the site entrance(s). Internal guidance from Natural England recommends that ecological receptors within 200m of a site should be considered in a construction dust and particulate matter assessment, as opposed to only those ecological sites within 50m of a site (as stated in IAQM Guidance (IAQM, 2016)).
- 3. A 'ecological receptor' refers to any sensitive habitat affected by dust soiling. For locations with a statutory designation, such as a Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI), Special Area of Conservation (SAC) and Special Protection Area (SPA), consideration should be given as to whether the particular site is sensitive to dust. Some non-statutory sites may also be considered if appropriate.
- 4. Where the need for a more detailed assessment is screened out, it can be concluded that the level of risk is 'negligible'.
- 5. The location of the onshore construction compounds, etc. (see **Chapter 5 Project Description** for more details) have yet to be determined. Therefore, it is proposed to undertake the construction dust and particulate matter assessment using a worst case scenario whereby the maximum amount of works (e.g., cable trenching, a construction compound, jointing bay and link box construction) are undertaken in proximity to the greatest number of human and ecological receptors (this may not necessarily be in the same location). Recommended mitigation measures for the worst case location(s) would then be applied to all onshore construction works, to provide a conservative assessment.
- 6. There are a number of human receptors within 350m and ecological receptors within 200m of the onshore PEIR boundary. Therefore, a Detailed Assessment was required to consider the potential for impacts at both human and ecological receptors.

24.1.3 Step 2: Assess the Risk of Dust Impacts

7. A risk category is allocated to a site based on the scale and nature of the works (Step 2A) and the sensitivity of the area to dust impacts (Step 2B). These two factors are combined in Step 2C to determine the risk of dust impacts before the implementation of mitigation measures. The assigned risk categories may be different for each of the four construction activities outlined by the IAQM (demolition, construction, earthworks and trackout).



Page 6 of 10

Doc. No. PB8164-RHD-ZZ-ON-RP-Z-0030

Rev. no.1

8. The site can also be divided into zones, for example on a large site where there are differing distances to the nearest receptors.

24.1.3.1 Step 2A: Define the Potential Dust Emission Magnitude

9. The IAQM guidance recommends that the dust emission magnitude is determined for earthworks, construction and trackout. The dust emission magnitude is based on the scale of the anticipated works. Table 24.1.1 describes the potential dust emission class criteria for each outlined construction activity. As no demolition would be undertaken during the construction phase, impacts associated with demolition have not been considered within the assessment.

Table 24.1.1: Criteria used in the determination of dust emission magnitude.

Activity	Criteria used to determine dust emission class				
	Small	Medium	Large		
Earthworks	Total site area <2,500m ²	Total site area 2,500 – 10,000m ²	Total site area >10,000m ²		
Construction	Total building volume <25,000m ³	Total building volume 25,000 – 100,000m ³	Total building volume >100,000m ³		
Trackout	<10 outward HDV trips in any one day. Unpaved road length <50m	10-50 outward HDV trips in any one day. Unpaved road length 50-100m	>50 outward HDV trips in any one day. Unpaved road length >100m		

10. The potential dust emission magnitude for the Project was determined using criteria detailed in **Table 24.1.1**.

24.1.3.2 Step 2B: Define the Sensitivity of the Area

- 11. The sensitivity of the area takes into account the following factors and is detailed in **Table 24.1.2**:
 - The specific sensitivities of receptors in the area;
 - The proximity and number of receptors;
 - The local background PM₁₀ concentration; and
 - Site-specific factors, such as whether there are natural shelters, such as trees, to reduce the risk of windblown dust.

Table 24.1.2: Criteria used for determining sensitivity of receptors.

Sensitivity	Criteria for determining sensitivity				
of Receptor	Dust soiling Health effects of effects PM10		Ecological receptors		
			Ecological effects		
High	Dwellings, museums and other culturally important	Residential properties, hospitals, schools and	Locations with an international or national designation and the designated		



Doc. No. PB8164-RHD-ZZ-ON-RP-Z-0030

Rev. no.1

Sensitivity	Criteria for determining sensitivity				
of Receptor	Human receptors Dust soiling Health effects of effects PM ₁₀		Ecological receptors		
			Ecological effects		
	collections, medium and long-term car parks and car showrooms.	residential care homes.	features may be affected by dust soiling.		
Medium	Parks, places of work.	Office and shop workers not occupationally exposed to PM ₁₀ .	Locations where there is a particularly important plant species, where its dust sensitivity is uncertain or unknown		
Low	Playing fields, farmland, footpaths, short- term car parks and roads.	Public footpaths, playing fields, parks and shopping streets.	Locations with a local designation where the features may be affected by dust deposition		

12. The criteria detailed in **Table 24.1.3** to **Table 24.1.5** were used to determine the sensitivity of the area to dust soiling effects, human health impacts and ecological effects. **Figure 24.2** in **Chapter 24 Air Quality** details the distance bands, as detailed in **Table 24.1.3** to **Table 24.1.5**, from the site boundary for use in the construction phase assessment.

Table 24.1.3: Sensitivity of the area to dust soiling effects on people and property.

Sensitivity	No. of	Distance from source (m)				
of Receptor	receptors	<20	<50	<100	<350	
	>100	High	High	Medium	Low	
High	10-100	High	Medium	Low	Low	
	1-10	Medium	Low	Low	Low	
Medium	>1	Medium	Low	Low	Low	
Low	>1	Low	Low	Low	Low	



Doc. No. PB8164-RHD-ZZ-ON-RP-Z-0030

Rev. no.1

Table 24.1.4: Sensitivity of the area to human health impacts.

Sensitivity	Annual mean PM ₁₀ concentrations	No. of	Distance from source (m)				
of Receptor		receptors	<20	<50	<100	<200	<350
		>100	High	High	High	Medium	Low
	>32μg.m ⁻³	10-100	High	High	Medium	Low	Low
		1-10	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
		>100	High	High	Medium	Low	Low
	28-32μg.m ⁻³	10-100	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
		1-10	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
High		>100	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
	24-28μg.m ⁻³	10-100	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
		1-10	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low
		>100	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low
	<24μg.m ⁻³	10-100	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
		1-10	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
	203	>10	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
Madium	>32μg.m ⁻³	1-10	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low
Medium	20.223	>10	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low
	28-32μg.m ⁻³	1-10	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
	<28μg.m ⁻³	≥1	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Low	-	≥1	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low



Page 9 of 10

Doc. No. . PB8164-RHD-ZZ-ON-RP-Z-0030

Rev. no.1

Table 24.1.5: Sensitivity of the area to ecological effects.

Our Mark the of December	Distance from source (m)			
Sensitivity of Receptor	<20	<50	<200	
High	High	Medium	Low	
Medium	Medium	Low	Low	
Low	Low	Low	Low	

24.1.3.3 Step 2C: Define the Risk of Impacts

13. The dust emission magnitude and sensitivity of the area are combined to determine the risk of impacts from each activity (earthworks, construction and trackout) before mitigation is applied. These criteria are detailed in **Table 24.1.6** and **Table 24.1.7**.

Table 24.1.6: Risk of impacts – earthworks and construction.

	Dust emission magnitude			
Sensitivity of Receptor	Large	Medium	Small	
High	High risk	Medium risk	Low risk	
Medium	Medium risk	Medium risk	Low risk	
Low	Low risk	Low risk	Negligible risk	

Table 24.1.7: Risk of impacts - trackout.

	Dust emission magnitude			
Sensitivity of Receptor	Large	Medium	Small	
High	High risk	Medium risk	Low risk	
Medium	Medium risk	Low risk	Negligible risk	
Low	Low risk	Low risk	Negligible risk	

24.1.4 Step 3: Site Specific Mitigation

14. Step three of the IAQM guidance identifies appropriate site-specific mitigation. These measures are related to whether the site is a low, medium or high risk site. Mitigation for the Project is detailed in **Chapter 24 Air Quality**.

24.1.5 Step 4: Determine Significant Effects

15. With the implementation of mitigation measures, the residual impacts from construction are expected to be not significant, in accordance with IAQM guidance (IAQM, 2016).



Page 10 of 10

Doc. No. . PB8164-RHD-ZZ-ON-RP-Z-0030

Rev. no.1

24.2 References

Institute of Air Quality Management (2016). Guidance on the assessment of dust from demolition and construction. Version 1.1.